





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	TION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/019,486 05/22/2002		Wolfgang Kiesewetter	225/50783	1377		
23911	7590	10/06/2003		EXAMINER		
CROWELI			SICONOLFI, ROBERT			
P.O. BOX 1		ROPERTY GROUP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING	ron, do	20044-4300	3683			
				DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. Applicant(s)									
•	-	10/019,486		KIESEWETTER ET AL.							
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	1						
		Robert A. Siconoli		3683	U						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply											
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status											
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on										
2a)□		— · is action is non-fin	ıal.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa			osecution as to th	ne merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>											
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-32</u> is/are pending in the application.											
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.											
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.											
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-32</u> is/are rejected.											
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.											
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers											
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.											
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).											
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.											
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.											
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.											
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120											
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).											
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:											
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.										
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>											
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).											
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.											
Attachment(s)											
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT							

Application/Control Number: 10/019,486

Art Unit: 3683

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Preliminary Amendment filed on 12/31/01 has been received.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 20-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nell et al (U. S. Patent no. 5,611,606) in view of Yoshida (U. S. Patent no. 6,234,589). Nell et al discloses: pressure sensors 63,64, servo assistance unit 36,37,38,39, travel sensor 69, trip switch 67, control mode of automatic braking see column 13 line 5 to the end of the document specifically automatic braking can be actuated with a pressure rise and then terminated when pressure drops. Nell et al does not disclose actuating the servo brake based on one threshold and then terminating if the variable does not met a second threshold within a certain time period. Yoshida teaches actuating the servo brake based on one threshold and then terminating if the variable does not met a second threshold within a certain time period (see figures 5 and 6 and see column 21 lines 32-56). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to terminate automatic braking if a second threshold is not met within a certain time period as taught by Yoshida in the brake system of Nell et al in order to prevent unintended braking of the vehicle which could lead to lose of control.

Art Unit: 3683

Page 3

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Siconolfi whose telephone number is 703-305-0580. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10 am-3 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on (703) 308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Examiner Art Unit 3683